

**Appl. No.** : 10/678,766  
**Filed** : October 2, 2003

### **SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW**

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for the opportunity to discuss the pending Office Action in a telephone interview with the undersigned on March 28, 2007 and in a follow-up interview on April 27, 2007.

#### Exhibits and/or Demonstrations

None.

#### Identification of Claims Discussed

The pending claims were discussed.

#### Identification of Prior Art Discussed

The George reference cited in the Office Action was discussed.

#### Proposed Amendments

Applicants generally proposed amendments of the type presented herein.

#### Principal Arguments and Other Matters

The Examiner's position with respect to superlattices and mixed oxides was discussed. In the follow-up interview, Applicants outlined the amendments proposed herein.

#### Results of Interview

In the initial interview, the Examiner explained his position and Applicants agreed to consider possible amendments that would clarify the distinction between the claimed multicomponent oxides and the superlattices mentioned in George. In the follow-up interview, Applicants outlined the amendments proposed herein and the Examiner suggested that they be submitted in the present response.